

Express Mail No.: EV3092930-97 US

Filing Date: 12/09/2003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: Not Yet Received (Filed Contemporaneously with Application)

Filing Date: 12/09/2003

Applicant: Guillermo Guzman et al.

Entitled: WATER FILTER

Mail Stop Patent Application
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98

As authorized and encouraged under 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98 and the provisions of MPEP §§ 609 and 707.05 (b), Applicant(s) submits herewith certain patent references, publications and/or other information which the Patent and Trademark Office may wish to consider in examining the above-identified patent application. The references and information are listed below and on attached form PTO-1449.

U.S. PATENTS


U.S. PATENT NUMBER	INVENTOR(S)
D 277,305	Grube
D 285,958	Koizumi et al.
D 328,942	Igami
D 358,868	Hembree et al.
D 457,596	Guzman et al.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law.

Applicant(s) respectfully requests that the documents cited herein be made of record in the normal manner and that such documents appear on the printed patent as being considered and made of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12/7/2003

By: 
James L. Kwak
Registration No.: 41,133
Standley & Gilcrest
495 Metro Place South, Suite 210
Dublin, Ohio 43017-5315
Telephone: (614) 792-5555
Facsimile: (614) 792-5536

4,623,451	Oliver
4,686,037	Lang
4,839,039	Parsons et al.
4,885,081	Oliver
5,833,849	Primdahl
5,853,572	Kuennen et al.
5,925,172	Rick et al.
5,935,426	Giordano et al.
6,251,274	Shepherd

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

COUNTRY	PATENT NO.	INVENTOR(S)
NONE		

OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. NONE.

A copy of each document is included for the express purpose of providing the Patent and Trademark Office with ample opportunity to evaluate the same and arrive at an independent assessment of the materiality of each, if any, to the examination of the above-identified application.

In reviewing the enclosed copies of the above documents, the Examiner is instructed to ignore any underscoring or highlighting which may have been done because such markings may or may not have any relationship to the subject matter of the above-identified application. The copies being submitted with this Information Disclosure Statement are the best copies available at this time.

Filing Date: 12/09/2003

**U.S. Department of Commerce
Patent and Trademark Office**

Atty. Docket No. H2O1493-005B Serial No. Not Yet Rec'd

Guillermo Guzman et al.
Applicant

12/09/2003

Filing Date

Group Art Unit

Examiner's name

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class/Sub-class
	D 277,305	01/22/1985	Grube	D23/209
	D 285,958	09/30/1986	Koizumi et al.	D23/209
	D 328,942	08/25/1992	Igami et al.	D23/209
	D 358,868	05/30/1995	Hembree et al.	D23/209
	D 457,596	05/21/2002	Guzman et al.	D23/209
	4,623,451	11/18/1986	Oliver	210/87
	4,686,037	08/11/1987	Lang	210/221.2
	4,839,039	06/13/1989	Parsons et al.	210/143
	4,885,081	12/05/1989	Oliver	210/87
	5,833,849	11/10/1998	Primdahl	210/232
	5,853,572	12/29/1998	Kuennen et al.	210/87
	5,925,172	07/20/1999	Rick et al.	96/397
	5,935,426	08/10/1999	Giordano et al.	210/87
	6,251,274	06/26/2001	Shepherd	210/235

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Country/Name	Translation? yes/n
--------------------	-----------------	------	--------------	--------------------

	NONE			
--	------	--	--	--

OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. None.

Examiner	Date Considered
----------	-----------------

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.